Journal of Global Social and Human Research (JGSHR)
Vol. 1, No. 1, September 2026 | ISSN: 3056-5782 | Pages 7–13
jgshr.com | editor@jgshr.com

Institutional Fragility and Fiscal Drag: A Socio-Legal Assessment of Conjugal Breakdown in Modern India

Jabar Hapur Kumar Sink

Jawaharlal Nehru University, India

Email: Kumar Sink.Jab@gmail.com

DOI: https://doi.org/10.18415/qv3hbc43

Abstract

India's rapid economic and social transformation has altered the institutional context in which marriage and family life are organized. Historically, family relationships were closely associated with collective obligations, spiritual and ethical responsibilities (dharma), and intergenerational support. In contemporary urban settings, however, these arrangements have been affected by urbanization, economic liberalization, changing household structures, and increasing individual autonomy. This study presents a socio-legal assessment of marital breakdown and examines its implications for economic productivity, public administration, human capital, and judicial capacity. The analysis compares community-based and restorative approaches to family dispute resolution—including intervention by family elders, village-level mediation, and the support provided by joint households—with the more formal and adversarial procedures through which contemporary matrimonial disputes are often addressed. Particular attention is given to dual-career pressures, unequal domestic responsibilities, individualization, external intervention, delayed access to counseling, and changes in cultural expectations surrounding marriage. The study also considers the consequences of fragmented litigation, prolonged judicial proceedings, and disputes concerning maintenance, custody, domestic violence, and related criminal allegations. On the basis of the framework presented in the manuscript, the study proposes several institutional responses, including accessible pre- and post-marital counseling, early education concerning ethics and social responsibility, specialized family courts, and greater procedural coordination among forums dealing with matrimonial disputes. The proposed reforms are intended to reduce avoidable conflict, improve the efficiency of dispute resolution, and strengthen institutional support for families experiencing marital difficulties.

Keywords: *Matrimonial Instability; Family Law Reform; Socio-Legal Dynamics; Macro-Economic Friction; Judicial Consolidation; Restorative Mediation; Systemic Reform*

1. Introduction

Marriage has historically occupied an important position within Indian social and cultural life. In traditional legal and philosophical perspectives, it has been understood not simply as a private contractual relationship but also as a social and ethical institution associated with dharma, duty, continuity, and intergenerational responsibility (Gupta & Sharma, 2019; Singh & Mishra, 2020). The family consequently functioned as a central unit through which social relationships, economic resources, caregiving responsibilities, and cultural practices were organized. Across different communities, the joint-family structure also provided mechanisms for informal support and conflict management (Verma & Kapoor, 2017; Reddy & Kumar, 2018).

During the twentieth and twenty-first centuries, globalization, economic liberalization, urbanization, and the expansion of nuclear households have contributed to substantial changes in family organization in India (Sharma & Gupta, 2019; Verma & Kapoor, 2017). Greater economic independence and individual autonomy have created new opportunities for family members, but they have also altered expectations concerning gender roles, household responsibilities, personal freedom, and marital decision-making. Within this changing environment, marital disputes may become intertwined with employment pressures, financial disagreements, family intervention, and legal proceedings (Singh & Choudhury, 2020).

The consequences of marital breakdown can extend beyond the relationship between spouses. Prolonged disputes may involve several legal forums, generate repeated procedural activity, increase financial and

administrative costs, and affect children and other family members. The present study therefore approaches matrimonial instability as a socio-legal issue rather than solely as a private interpersonal matter.

This paper examines the following core research problems:

1. What structural and socio-cultural factors contribute to the escalating failure of matrimony in contemporary Indian society?
2. How do traditional indigenous dispute resolution models compare with the operational challenges of the modern matrimonial legal system?
3. What are the broader socio-economic, judicial, and psychological consequences of failed marriages on Indian national welfare?
4. What policy and institutional reforms—including judicial consolidation and institutionalized counseling—can restore structural stability to the Indian family system?

2. Conceptual and Cultural Foundations of the Indian Marriage System

Within traditional Indian legal and philosophical thought, marriage has frequently been conceptualized as a durable union associated with social order, ethical responsibility, spiritual development, and collective obligations (Reddy & Kumar, 2018). In this framework, the family is treated as a fundamental social institution, and the concept of dharma emphasizes duties and responsibilities alongside individual interests (Gupta & Sharma, 2019; Singh & Mishra, 2020).

The organization of family life historically relied on extensive kinship networks, community expectations, and shared household arrangements. These structures could provide material assistance, childcare, and informal mechanisms for managing interpersonal disagreements. The manuscript associates these arrangements with greater marital continuity and comparatively low levels of divorce in several social contexts (Verma & Kapoor, 2017). At the same time, the diversity of Indian communities means that traditional family practices cannot be treated as completely uniform across regions, social groups, or historical periods.

3. Socio-Structural Factors Contributing to Marital Failure

The movement from community-centered and extended-family arrangements toward increasingly urban and nuclear forms of household organization has changed the context in which marital relationships develop. Several factors identified in the manuscript may contribute to marital tension and the escalation of disputes (Singh & Mishra, 2020; Verma & Kapoor, 2017).

3.1 Dual-Career Frictions and Domestic Labor Imbalances

In many contemporary households, both spouses participate in paid employment while continuing to manage domestic responsibilities. The resulting combination of professional demands and household expectations can create significant time pressure and fatigue. Where domestic labor is perceived as unevenly distributed, disagreements may become persistent and contribute to emotional strain (Singh & Mishra, 2020). Heavy workloads and competing professional priorities can further reduce the time available for communication, shared activities, and the resolution of routine disagreements.

3.2 Individualization, Autonomy, and Marital Conflict

Economic independence and changing expectations concerning personal autonomy have transformed traditional patterns of authority and decision-making within marriage. In dual-income households, spouses may negotiate financial decisions, professional priorities, domestic responsibilities, and personal goals in ways that differ from earlier family arrangements. Where mechanisms for compromise and communication are weak, differences over apparently minor matters can develop into more sustained conflict (Singh & Mishra, 2020).

3.3 External Intervention and Pressure Toward Separation

The transition to nuclear households may also alter the role played by parents, relatives, peers, and other external actors in marital disputes. In some circumstances, advice from family members or social networks may assist reconciliation; in others, it may intensify disagreement. The manuscript also raises concerns about situations in which legal or financial interests may influence the direction of a dispute (Singh & Choudhury,

© 2026 JGSHR | CC BY 4.0 | jgshr.com

2020; Verma & Kapoor, 2017). Such interventions can affect whether couples pursue counseling, mediation, separation, or litigation.

3.4 Delayed Access to Professional Guidance

Early professional assistance can provide couples with structured opportunities to address communication problems and disagreements before they become more difficult to manage. Nevertheless, couples may hesitate to seek counseling because of stigma, privacy concerns, family pressure, or the belief that marital difficulties should be handled privately. As a result, conflicts that might initially be manageable can intensify before professional assistance is obtained (Chatterjee & Banerjee, 2018; Khanna & Sharma, 2019).

3.5 Changing Cultural Expectations and Responsibility

Digital media, changing lifestyles, and broader cultural interaction have contributed to evolving expectations regarding marriage and family responsibilities. The manuscript argues that these changes can weaken established expectations of long-term commitment in some settings (Verma & Kapoor, 2017). A more balanced interpretation is that contemporary couples may be negotiating a different relationship between individual aspirations and family obligations. Where expectations remain unclear or incompatible, disagreements concerning responsibility, independence, and adaptation can become significant sources of marital stress.

4. Comparative Analysis: Traditional and Contemporary Dispute Resolution

Comparative Dimension	Traditional / Community-Based Model	Contemporary Adversarial Legal Framework
Primary objective	Reconciliation, social harmony, and fulfillment of family responsibilities	Formal adjudication, legal remedies, and dissolution where applicable
Key mediators	Family elders, community members, and village-level mediators	Lawyers, advocates, judicial officers, and formal institutions
Structural support	Extended-family networks and community support	Individual parties operating within formal legal institutions
Socio-legal basis	Shared cultural norms and ethical obligations	Statutory provisions and procedural law
Impact on children	Potential support from extended family networks	Custody and welfare issues may become part of formal litigation
Duration and cost	Informal resolution may be relatively accessible and less costly	Multiple proceedings may involve substantial time and financial costs

4.1 Indigenous Mechanisms of Dispute Resolution

Historically, family and community structures provided several informal mechanisms through which matrimonial disagreements could be addressed (Reddy & Kumar, 2018).

- Elder-Led Counseling: Family elders could intervene as informal mediators and draw upon shared cultural narratives and ethical concepts, including patience, sacrifice, responsibility, and dharma.
- Panchayat Mediation: At the village level, respected community members could provide an accessible forum for discussing family disputes before they developed into formal legal conflicts.
- Joint-Family Support: Extended households could distribute childcare, financial responsibilities, and other practical burdens, while also providing emotional support during periods of marital tension.
- Child- and Community-Centered Considerations: Social and religious expectations concerning family continuity and child welfare could encourage couples to consider reconciliation before pursuing separation.

4.2 Structural Challenges of the Modern Adversarial System

Formal litigation provides legally enforceable remedies, but matrimonial disputes can become prolonged when several related claims are pursued in different forums. Repeated hearings and procedural delays may increase financial costs and emotional strain and may reduce opportunities for constructive communication between the parties (Khanna & Sharma, 2019; Sharma & Gupta, 2019). The manuscript therefore emphasizes the need to examine whether greater procedural coordination could reduce unnecessary duplication while preserving the legal rights of all parties.

© 2026 JGSHR | CC BY 4.0 | jgshr.com

5. Challenges in the Contemporary Matrimonial Legal Ecosystem

5.1 Multi-Forum Litigation and Statutory Misuse

A central concern identified in the manuscript is the fragmentation of matrimonial disputes across different legal forums. Depending on the circumstances of a case, one family dispute may involve several forms of civil, family, maintenance, domestic-violence, custody, or criminal proceedings (Sharma & Gupta, 2019; Singh & Choudhury, 2020). The manuscript identifies the following categories:

1. Restitution of Conjugal Rights (RCR): Proceedings concerning the resumption of cohabitation.
2. Divorce Petitions: Proceedings seeking formal dissolution of marriage.
3. Guardians and Wards Proceedings (GWOP): Proceedings concerning custody and visitation.
4. Domestic Violence Act Proceedings (DVC): Proceedings involving statutory protections, residence, and related reliefs.
5. Maintenance Claims (MC): Claims for financial maintenance under applicable law.
6. Criminal Proceedings: Allegations concerning cruelty, dowry harassment, or other offences under applicable legislation.

The manuscript further raises the issue of alleged misuse of protective legal provisions in some matrimonial disputes, including situations in which multiple proceedings are used to increase pressure on an opposing party (Khanna & Sharma, 2019; Sharma & Gupta, 2019). Such claims should be assessed on the facts and evidence of individual cases and should not be generalized to all users of protective legislation.

5.2 Judicial Backlogs and Institutional Delays

The wider burden of pending litigation can affect the timely resolution of family disputes. Matrimonial cases often involve emotionally sensitive questions concerning relationships, finances, residence, and children, making prolonged proceedings particularly difficult for the parties involved. Repeated adjournments and procedural delays may increase costs and extend conflict, while timely and coordinated procedures may create greater opportunities for resolution (Sharma & Gupta, 2019).

6. Socio-Economic and Macro-Level Consequences of Failed Marriages

6.1 Psychological and Social Consequences

Marital breakdown can have consequences for spouses, children, and extended family members. Where conflict remains unresolved, individuals may experience prolonged stress and reduced psychological well-being. The manuscript links unresolved matrimonial conflict with depression, anxiety, social hostility, and social isolation (Gupta & Sharma, 2019; MFSW, 2021). These effects can vary substantially according to the circumstances of each family, the duration of conflict, the availability of social support, and the nature of the legal proceedings.

6.2 Economic Impact and Productivity

Matrimonial litigation can also impose economic costs. Court appearances may require spouses, relatives, witnesses, and legal representatives to take time away from employment and other productive activities. When similar demands occur across a large number of cases, the aggregate effect may include lost working time and increased expenditure on legal and administrative processes (MFSW, 2021; Sharma & Gupta, 2019).

The original manuscript reports an estimated annual productivity loss of approximately US$19 billion associated with matrimonial litigation. This figure is retained here as a claim attributed to the cited sources rather than presented as an independently verified estimate. Its methodological basis would need to be established before the figure is treated as a definitive national economic measure.

6.3 Administrative and Institutional Pressures

Complex procedures and lengthy disputes can create additional pressure on courts, police agencies, administrative bodies, and other institutions involved in matrimonial cases. The manuscript also discusses allegations that delays and procedural complexity may create opportunities for improper payments or other forms of administrative misconduct (Khanna & Sharma, 2019; Sharma & Gupta, 2019). Such allegations

© 2026 JGSHR | CC BY 4.0 | jgshr.com

require case-specific evidence and should not be generalized to judicial or law-enforcement institutions as a whole.

6.4 Children, Custody Disputes, and Family Structure

Children can become directly affected when marital conflict develops into prolonged custody or access disputes. The manuscript emphasizes the risk that children may be placed in the middle of parental disagreements and that conflict surrounding access to a parent can adversely affect their well-being (Gupta & Sharma, 2019; Singh & Choudhury, 2020). It also cites research concerning relationships between family structure and adolescent behavioral outcomes (Eitle, 2006; Ram & Hou, 2005). These relationships should be interpreted cautiously because children's outcomes are influenced by multiple factors, including the level of parental conflict, economic circumstances, social support, and the quality of relationships within the household.

7. Strategic Policy Interventions and Structural Reforms

The manuscript proposes a policy framework designed to improve early intervention, reduce avoidable litigation, and strengthen the institutional capacity for resolving matrimonial disputes. The principal proposals are outlined below (Government of India [GoI], 2022; Khanna & Sharma, 2019; Singh & Choudhury, 2020).

7.1 Institutionalization of Community-Based Pre- and Post-Marital Counseling

Community-based counseling services could provide couples with accessible support before conflicts develop into formal disputes. Such services could be established through community centers, local civic institutions, and other appropriate settings (Chatterjee & Banerjee, 2018; GoI, 2022).

Pre-marital counseling could address legal rights, financial planning, expectations concerning domestic responsibilities, communication, and conflict-resolution skills. Post-marital counseling could provide non-adversarial opportunities for couples experiencing significant strain to obtain assistance from trained professionals and, where appropriate, community mediators (Chatterjee & Banerjee, 2018; GoI, 2022).

7.2 Early Educational Integration of Ethics, Values, and Social Responsibility

The manuscript proposes greater attention within educational programs to ethics, empathy, emotional intelligence, mutual respect, and social responsibility (GoI, 2022; Singh & Choudhury, 2020). Such education could help young people develop communication and conflict-management skills before they enter long-term relationships. The proposed approach should complement, rather than replace, legal protections and education concerning equality, consent, and individual rights.

7.3 Legislative Consolidation: The Single-Jurisdiction Model

A further proposal is to improve procedural coordination by enabling closely connected matrimonial claims to be considered within a more integrated judicial framework. The manuscript describes this as a "One Case for All Matrimonial Disputes" approach (Khanna & Sharma, 2019; Sharma & Gupta, 2019).

Under the proposed model, matters such as divorce, child custody or guardianship, maintenance, domestic-violence reliefs, property-related disputes, and relevant criminal allegations arising from the same marital conflict would be coordinated within a unified judicial structure, subject to the legal safeguards and jurisdictional requirements applicable to each type of claim.

The intended advantages include reducing inconsistent orders, limiting duplicative proceedings, decreasing administrative burdens, reducing repeated appearances, and improving the coordination of judicial remedies. The practical design of such a model would nevertheless require careful consideration of due process, the independence of criminal proceedings, access to specialized remedies, and the protection of vulnerable parties.

7.4 Expansion of Specialized Family Courts

The manuscript recommends expanding specialized family courts with personnel trained in family law, psychology, sociology, and alternative dispute resolution (GoI, 2022; Khanna & Sharma, 2019). Such courts could prioritize appropriate mediation, establish clearer case-management timelines, and apply child-centered approaches to custody and related disputes. Specialized procedures should continue to protect the legal rights and safety of all parties.

© 2026 JGSHR | CC BY 4.0 | jgshr.com

8. Expected Macro-Level Benefits of Matrimonial Stabilization

The proposed reforms are expected, within the framework of the manuscript, to generate several potential institutional and socio-economic benefits (GoI, 2022; MFSW, 2021; Sharma & Gupta, 2019).

- Economic Productivity: Reducing unnecessary court appearances and prolonged litigation could preserve working time and limit some of the economic costs associated with matrimonial disputes.
- Judicial Efficiency: Greater coordination among family-related proceedings could reduce duplication and allow judicial resources to be allocated more efficiently.
- Public Health and Social Well-Being: Accessible counseling and non-adversarial dispute-resolution mechanisms may help reduce prolonged interpersonal stress and support healthier family relationships.
- Child Welfare: More coordinated and child-centered approaches to custody and family disputes could reduce the exposure of children to prolonged parental conflict and support more stable arrangements.

9. Conclusion

Marital breakdown in contemporary India should be understood within the wider social, economic, and institutional changes affecting family life. The transition from extended-family and community-supported arrangements toward increasingly nuclear and individualized household structures has altered the ways in which couples manage responsibilities, expectations, and conflict. At the same time, formal legal institutions have become central to the resolution of disputes involving divorce, maintenance, custody, domestic violence, property, and related claims.

The analysis presented in this paper highlights several areas that warrant institutional attention, including early access to counseling, clearer mechanisms for conflict resolution, greater coordination among legal forums, and specialized judicial capacity for family disputes. Community-based mediation and traditional forms of family support may provide useful insights, but any contemporary application must operate consistently with individual rights, legal safeguards, and protections against coercion or abuse.

A combination of preventive counseling, education in communication and social responsibility, specialized family courts, and improved procedural coordination could reduce avoidable conflict and administrative duplication. Further empirical research is required to measure the economic costs of matrimonial litigation, assess the effectiveness of different mediation models, and determine which institutional reforms produce measurable improvements in outcomes for spouses and children.

References

Chatterjee, D., & Banerjee, P. (2018). The role of counseling in preserving the matrimonial system: A case study of selected Indian communities. Journal of Counseling and Psychology, 6(1), 45–56.

Eitle, D. (2006). Parental gender, family structure, and delinquency: Assessing the applicability of power-control theory. Deviant Behavior, 27(2), 133–167.

Government of India. (2022). National Family Welfare Program: Guidelines for strengthening matrimonial relations. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government Publications.

Gupta, A. K., & Sharma, S. (2019). Impact of failed marriages on mental health and society: A comprehensive review. Journal of Social Psychology and Mental Health, 5(2), 78–92.

Khanna, N., & Sharma, V. (2019). Addressing challenges in the present matrimonial system: A policy perspective. Journal of Public Policy and Governance, 7(2), 120–135.

Ministry of Family and Social Welfare, Government of India. (2021). Report on the impact of failed marriages on society and economy. Government Publications.

Ram, B., & Hou, F. (2005). Changes in family structure and child outcomes: Roles of economic and non-economic resources. Policy Options / Options Politiques, 26(3), 64–70.

Reddy, S. M., & Kumar, A. (2018). Ancient ways of resolving family disputes and their relevance in modern society. Journal of Legal Anthropology, 7(3), 112–125.

Sharma, R. K., & Gupta, S. (2019). Impact of failed marriages on the judiciary and the economy: A case study of Indian courts. Indian Journal of Law and Society, 8(3), 165–180.

Singh, A., & Choudhury, N. (2020). Proposed resolutions for reducing the failure of matrimony in India. Journal of Family and Marital Studies, 12(4), 210–225.

© 2026 JGSHR | CC BY 4.0 | jgshr.com

Singh, R. K., & Mishra, P. (2020). Factors affecting successful marriages in contemporary Indian society. Indian Journal of Family Studies, 9(1), 45–56.

Verma, P., & Kapoor, M. (2017). Challenges in the present matrimonial system in India: A sociological perspective. Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities, 4(2), 89–102.

Copyright and Open Access

© 2026 The Author. Published by the Journal of Global Social and Human Research (JGSHR). The author retains copyright. This article is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), which permits use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided appropriate credit is given to the original author and source.